

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 TODD MICHAEL SCHULTZ,  
11 Plaintiff,  
12  
13 v.  
14 MICHAEL C. THOMPSON, et al.,  
15 Defendants.

No. 2:23-cv-03452-JAK (MRWx)

**ORDER RE SECOND EX PARTE  
APPLICATION TO EXPEDITE  
HEARING (DKT. 52) AND THIRD EX  
PARTE APPLICATION TO  
EXPEDITE HEARING (DKT. 55)**

1 Based on a review of the Second Ex Parte Application for Expedited Hearing  
2 (Dkt. 52) and the Third Ex Parte Application for Expedited Hearing (Dkt. 55) (the  
3 “Applications”), sufficient good cause has been shown for the requested relief.

4 Therefore, the Applications are **GRANTED**, as follows:

5 The hearing on Defendants’ Motions to Dismiss is set for September 11, 2023. *See*  
6 Dkts. 50, 53. The hearing is presently scheduled for 8:30 a.m.; provided, however, the  
7 time of this hearing may change when the final calendar for that date issues. The  
8 deadlines for the parties’ briefing on the Motions to Dismiss shall be those set forth in  
9 this Court’s Civil Standing Order. *See* Dkt. 8.

10  
11  
12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13  
14 Dated: August 1, 2023



15 John A. Kronstadt

16 United States District Judge  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28